

# Analysis of Twisted Tall Structure Considering Lateral Load Using ETABS

Anmol Khare<sup>1</sup>, Vijay Tembhere<sup>2</sup>, Lokesh Harinkhede<sup>2</sup> P. G. Scholar<sup>1</sup>, Assistant Professor<sup>2</sup> Department of Civil Engineering, Sardarpatel University, Balaghat, Madhya Pradesh, India

## ABSTRACT

Article Info

May-June-2022

**Publication Issue :** Volume 6, Issue 3

**Page Number :** 288-296

#### Article History

Accepted : 10 June 2022 Published : 20 June 2022 This examination presents the underlying way of behaving of RCC wound building exposed to dynamic burdens. The similar concentrate between RCC wound building is made. In a wound tall structure different pace of contort for RCC bent building was investigated. The different pace of bend as 10, 12.5 and 15 degrees at the focal point of the RCC wound building was thought of. The demonstrating and investigation is finished utilizing ETABS. Dead loads, live burden, seismic burden and wind loads are allocated for demonstrated designs and results acquired are plotted for boundaries, for example, removal, story float, time-frame and base shear. The investigation for turned building is finished gravity loads, horizontal burden utilizing Response range strategy. The models are considered for Zone V and the investigation is done according to the Indian standard codal arrangements. From the relative investigation of RCC working under Dynamic burdens for different point of bend for story it is reasoned that RCC wound building is proficient under seismic and wind load. 10 degree point of turn is effective contrasted with 12.5 and 15 level of point of bend.

**Keywords :** RCC twisted building, Steel twisted building, base shear, time period, displacement & storey drift.

#### I. INTRODUCTION

Tall structures arose in the late nineteenth 100 years in Chicago and New York. Following quite a while of diverse plan in the mid twentieth 100 years, the International Style won during the mid-twentieth hundred years and delivered various kaleidoscopic Miesian style towers everywhere. The present engineering, including tall structures, can be seen distinctly through acknowledgment of the strength of pluralism. This contemporary engineering configuration pattern has created different complexmolded tall structures, for example, curved, shifted, tightened and freestyle towers, similar to the cases with the contorted Cayan Tower in Dubai, shifted Gate of Europe Towers in Madrid and tightened freestyle Phare Tower in Paris. This paper concentrates on execution based underlying framework plan choices for different complexmolded tall structures.

Tall structures convey exceptionally enormous gravity and sidelong loads. Subsequently, underlying

**Copyright:** <sup>©</sup> the author(s), publisher and licensee Technoscience Academy. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial License, which permits unrestricted non-commercial use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited



effects of turning, shifting, tightening and freeframing tall structures are critical, and more cautious examinations are expected for the plan and development of complex-formed tall structures. However normal nowadays, complex-formed tall structures are a still extremely ongoing design peculiarity, and just a restricted measure of related research has been led. This study presents similar assessment of multi story skyscraper structure with curve at various points and contrasts the outcomes and the exposed casing of ordinary design.

#### II. Method of Design and Analysis

Tall structures of different complex structures and levels are planned with the present pervasive tall structure primary frameworks, for example, diagrids, propped cylinders and outrigger frameworks, and their similar underlying exhibitions are examined. Taking into account that the underlying model of tall structures is for the most part administered by horizontal solidness as opposed to strength, firmness based plan procedures are utilized to plan the tall structure designs of different complex structures.

Center designs are intended to convey just gravity loads for the cylinder type structures, for example, diagrids and propped tubes. For outrigger structures, center designs are planned as supported casings to convey both gravity and sidelong loads. The SEI/ASCE Minimum Design Loads for Buildings and Other Structures is utilized to lay out the breeze load. When the foundational layout and investigations of the rectangular box structure tall structures are finished, practically identical complex-molded tall structures of each structure classification are planned with diagrids, propped cylinders and outrigger structures. For bent, shifted, tightened and freestyle tall structures, parametric primary models are produced utilizing Rhino/Grasshopper to research every framework's underlying exhibition relying

upon the pace of wind, point of slant, point of tighten and level of vacillation of free structure. The models are traded to underlying designing programming, ETABS, for configuration, investigations and relative examinations. To similarly assess the primary exhibitions of different underlying frameworks utilized for contorted, shifted, tightened and freestyle structures, the starter underlying still up in the air for the regular box structure towers are likewise utilized for the complex-molded tall structures for certain minor changes when fundamental.

# Types of Complex Structures Twisted Tall Building

Utilizing turned structures for tall structures is a new design peculiarity. Wound structures utilized for the present tall structures can be perceived as a response to rectangular box types of current design. This contemporary building peculiarity isn't new in engineering, truth be told. It is practically identical to bent types of Mannerism engineering toward the finish of Renaissance design. For instance, in Cortile della Cavallerizza at Palazzo Ducale in Mantua, Giulio Romano planned bent segments. Bent structures can be observed again in the present tall structures, for example, the Shanghai Tower in Shanghai planned by Gensler.

As far as static reaction, turned structures are not fundamentally valuable. Assuming strong pinnacles are thought of, the snapshot of inactivity of a square arrangement doesn't change no matter what its curved point. Notwithstanding, assuming structure type structures made out of many casing individuals are thought of, the horizontal firmness of the contorted pinnacle is more modest than that of the straight pinnacle.





Fig 1 Twisted Structure

## **Tilted Tall Building**

Structures have customarily been developed upward, symmetrical to the ground. While a structure is viewed as shifted, it is ordinarily a sign of a few major issues happened to the structure. The inclining Tower of Pisa is a popular illustration of shifted structures because of differential settlements. Today, nonetheless, shifted structures are deliberately planned and worked to deliver more emotional engineering, just like the cases with the Gate of Europe Towers of 1996 in Madrid planned by Philip Johnson/John Burgee, Veer Towers of 2010 in Las Vegas by Helmut Jahn, and the plan of the Signature Towers in Dubai by Zaha Hadid. The primary exhibition of a shifted tall structure is reliant upon its underlying framework and point of slant.

Profoundly, outrigger brackets and uber sections brought about by shifting the pinnacle.



Fig 2 Tilted Tall Structure

Shifted tall structures are exposed to huge starting horizontal misshapenings because of unusual gravity loads. Gravity-prompted horizontal removals increment as the point of slant expansions in every one of the three primary frameworks. Among them, the outrigger structures produce generally little gravity-instigated parallel removals again due to the triangulation of the major primary parts. These gravity-initiated disfigurements can be overseen significantly through cautious development arranging. As the point of slant increments, extremely enormous limited anxieties are created in shifted tall structures. However underlying model of tall structures is for the most part represented by parallel solidness, investigations cautious on fulfilling strength prerequisites are likewise fundamental for shifted tall structures. Enormous elastic powers, not frequently found in traditional vertical tall structures, can be created in shifted tall structures. Cautious plan concentrates on the associations of the malleable individuals from shifted tall structures are required.



#### III. LITERATURE REVIEW

Vikash Yadav and Anurag Bajpai (2020) research paper introduced seismic way of behaving of structures for normal arrangement under seismic burdens and blends as indicated by IS 1893: 2016 and survey the report of diagrid and supported outline horizontal opposing power framework structure. seismic boundaries that are base shear, methods of vibration, time-frame, story deracination, story drop off and story requirement were assessed. Underlying examination of G+44 story steel outline, diagrid structure with lattice point 67.32 was introduced. In other two edge utilizing x-propping at all countenances, at corner, at focus and damper at corner, at focus. The arrangement considered for all models was 30m X 30m and the strategy use for examination was Response range investigation technique. Every one of the individuals were planned according to IS456:2000, IS800:2007 and load mix for seismic power were considered according to IS1893(Part-1):2016. The strategy of displaying examination done ETABSv17.0.1 was on programming.

Results expressed that Drift was least in X-propping in all appearances after 27 story before 27 story Diagrid structure having least vale however by and large examinations shows concerning diagrid structure, most extreme worth of float is 5.16% less in Xbracing in all countenances, 81.5% more in Xsupporting at corner, 150.5% more in X-supporting in focus. Subsequently, results presumed that Diagrid structure was obviously superior to other every single thought about model. In diagrid structure utilizing 20-25% less structure material by which the heaviness of building is decreased.

**Javed Shaikh et al (2020)** research paper investigated the behavior of a Twisted Skyscraper Building subjected to earth quake load and wind load by adopting Response spectrum analysis .The analysis is carried out with the help of FEM software's ETABS 2018. The building model in the study has G+50 (52) storeys with constant storey height of 3.5m.According to the Indian Standard Code Analysis and Design was carried in the research.

Results stated that the values of storey drifts decrease from top storey to bottom storey and the maximum value is obtained for storey 50 (i.e. 0.00065 and 0.000574 in X-Direction and Y-Direction resp) and according to IS 1893-2016 part 1 this values are within the limit(i.e. maximum drift allowed is 0.004 of storey height). Top storeys are more susceptible to the drifts, building torque, forces and moments and these values decrease as we move on to the bottom storeys. As the height of the building increases, lateral forces plays a dominant role. Therefore, certain provisions shall be made in order to resist these lateral forces so that building performance under the effect of lateral loads.

Asra Fathima and Shashi Kumar N V (2020) objective of the research paper was to investigate the behaviour of a multi-storey vertical irregular residential building under lateral loads. The project is carried out by FEM software E-Tabs. The building model used in this work has 15 stories each storey has a height of 3m. Seismic analysis was conducted on a RCC Residential building by using two types of analysis namely Linear Analysis and Non-Linear Analysis.

Results stated that the displacement obtained from linear analysis is 70% higher when compared to the displacement obtained from the Non-Linear analysis. Hence we can conclude that for tall buildings Nonlinear methods of analysis holds good this gives lower value of all the parameters hence the percentage of steel used in construction can be reduced. Base Shear of a building increases as the zone factor increases. For the same building base shear in Zone-2 is 3966.36 KN and in Zone-5 are 14278.9 which show that base shear increases by 30%.



#### IV. OBJECTIVES

The primary point is to introduce similar concentrate on underlying way of behaving of RCC wound structures against uncovered outline under powerful loads.

- To concentrate on seismic boundaries (base shear, story relocation, story float, time span) of the RC structures by straight static strategy, reaction range technique.
- To concentrate on the way of behaving of the RCC curved working for 10, 12.5 and 15 degree in center floor point of turn.
- To concentrate on the way of behaving of the RCC wound working under seismic burden

#### V. METHODOLOGY

#### Steps followed for Analysis

Stage 1: Numerous examination paper were investigated from various creators from one side of the planet to the other to recognize the exploration done work date and comprehend their restriction which give a base and extension to additional exploration.

Stage 2: This progression presents the determination of network for the estimation and characterize the rules for choice of materials as ETABs being a worldwide programming for planning, it upholds american, australian, Chinese and Indian codes for the analysis.the show units is chosen for metric SI where the steel configuration code is chosen as IS 800:2007 and substantial plan code as IS 456:2000.

| nitialization Options             |             |              |
|-----------------------------------|-------------|--------------|
| O Use Saved User Default Settings |             | 0            |
| O Use Settings from a Model File  | 0           |              |
| Use Built-in Settings With:       |             |              |
| Display Units                     | Metric SI   | ~ ()         |
| Steel Section Database            | Indian      | ~            |
| Steel Design Code                 | IS 800:2007 | ~ ()         |
| Concrete Design Code              | IS 456:2000 | ~ <b>(</b> ) |

#### Fig 3 Model Initialization.

Step 3: Designing the frame of the twisted structure



Fig 4 Designing the frame.



Fig 4: 3D view of structure

Step 4 Defining material properties for the beam and column for concrete and steel where the material properties and mass is predefined as per selection of grade of concrete



#### Anmol Khare et al. Int J Sci Res Civil Engg. May-June-2022, 6 (3) : 288-296



Fig 5 Defining Material Properties

Step 5 Defining section properties for beam and

| col | lumn |
|-----|------|
| CO  | umm  |

| General Data            |                           |                       |
|-------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------|
| Property Name           | beam                      |                       |
| Material                | STD-CONCRETE V            | 2 🔶                   |
| Notional Size Data      | Modify/Show Notional Size | 3                     |
| Display Color           | Change                    | <b>∼−</b> +           |
| Notes                   | Modify/Show Notes         |                       |
| Shape                   |                           |                       |
| Section Shape           | Concrete Rectangular 🗸 🗸  |                       |
| Section Property Source |                           |                       |
| Source: User Defined    |                           | Property Modifiers    |
| Pastian Dimensions      |                           | Modify/Show Modifiers |
| Deeth                   | 450 mm                    | Currently Default     |
| Depth                   | 450 mm                    | Reinforcement         |
| Width                   | 300 mm                    | Modify/Show Rebar     |
|                         |                           |                       |
|                         |                           | ок                    |
|                         |                           |                       |

Fig 6 Defining section properties for beam

| General Data            |                           |                       |
|-------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------|
| Property Name           | column                    |                       |
| Material                | STD-CONCRETE ~            | 2                     |
| Notional Size Data      | Modify/Show Notional Size | • •                   |
| Display Color           | Change                    | • <del>* •</del> •    |
| Notes                   | Modify/Show Notes         | • •                   |
| Shape                   |                           | • • •                 |
| Section Shape           | Concrete Rectangular ~    |                       |
| Section Property Source |                           |                       |
| Source: User Defined    |                           | Property Modifiers    |
| Contract Discounting    |                           | Modify/Show Modifiers |
| Section Limensions      | [===                      | Currently Default     |
| Depth                   | 500 mm                    | Reinforcement         |
| Width                   | 500 mm                    | Modify/Show Rebar     |
|                         | Show Section Properties   | OK                    |

**Fig 7 Defining section properties for column** Step 6 Defining Loading Condition for general load combinations and seismic load as per IS 1893 Part I 2016.



#### Fig 8 Defining Load Pattern and Combination

| Load Case Name<br>Load Case Type<br>Exclude Objects in this Group<br>Mass Source                  |                           | seismic Nonlinear Static ~ |                |           | Design                |        |
|---|---------------------------|----------------------------|----------------|-----------|-----------------------|--------|
|   |                           |                            |                | Notes     |                       |        |
|   |                           |                            | Not Applicable |           |                       |        |
|   |                           | MsSrc1 ~                   |                | ~         |                       |        |
| al Conditions   |                           |                            |                |           |                       |        |
| Zero Initial Condition  | ns - Start                | from Unstressed S          | tate           |           |                       |        |
| <ul> <li>Continue from State</li> </ul>   | at End o                  | f Nonlinear Case           | (Loads at End  | of Case A | RE Included)          |        |
| Nonlinear Case  | ,                         |                            |                |           |                       |        |
| de Applied  |                           |                            |                |           |                       |        |
| Load Tupe   |                           | Load N                     | ame            | 1         | Scale Factor          | 0      |
| Load Pattern  | ~                         | seismic                    | anie           | 1         | Scale Pactor          | Add    |
| Load Pattern  |                           | Dead                       |                | 1         |                       | Delete |
|   |                           |                            |                |           |                       |        |
|   |                           |                            |                |           |                       |        |
|   |                           |                            |                |           |                       |        |
| er Parameters   | _                         | _                          | _              |           |                       |        |
| er Parameters<br>Modal Load Case  |                           | -                          | Modal          | _         | ~                     |        |
| ier Parameters<br>Modal Load Case<br>Geometric Nonlinearity (                                     | Option                    | _                          | Modal<br>None  |           | ~<br>~                |        |
| er Parameters<br>Modal Load Case<br>Geometric Nonlinearity (<br>Load Application                  | Option<br>Full I          | .oad                       | Modal<br>None  |           | ✓<br>✓<br>Modify/Show |        |
| er Parameters<br>Modal Load Case<br>Geometric Nonlinearity (<br>Load Application<br>Results Saved | Option<br>Full I<br>Final | .oad<br>State Only         | Modal<br>None  |           | V<br>Modfy/Show       |        |

Fig 9 Defining Seismic load data as per IS 1893 part I 2016.

Step 7 Analyzing the structure on parameters of storey displacement, shear force, axial force and bending moment.



Fig 10 Storey Displacement





Fig 11 Moment

Step 8 Compiling the results and tabulating the data for the preparation of comparative graphs for chapter 5.

| Structure Type       | R.C.C Frame-structure          |
|----------------------|--------------------------------|
| Number of stories    | GROUND+20 Storey               |
| Storey height        | 3.0m                           |
| Bay width in both    |                                |
| direction            | 5.0m                           |
| Beam size            | 450x300mm                      |
| Column Size          | 500x500mm                      |
| Slab                 | 175mm                          |
| Grade of             |                                |
| Concrete(fck)        | M30                            |
| Grade of steel (fFy) | Fe415                          |
| Live Load (LL)       | 3 kN/m2                        |
| SIDL (230mm wall)    | 12kN/m                         |
| Floor finish load    |                                |
| (FF)                 | 1kN/m2                         |
| Seismic Zone (Z)     | V                              |
| Important Factor (I) | 1.5                            |
| Response Reduction   |                                |
| Factor (R)           | 5                              |
| Soil Type            | III                            |
| Type of structure    | Special moment resisting frame |

#### Table 1: Geometrical details





# **Results & discussion**

Bare Frame, 10 Degree, 12.5 Degree and 15 Degree

International Journal of Scientific Research in Civil Engineering (www.ijsrce.com)

# Conclusion

- Shear force was greatest at story tenth if there should be an occurrence of design with bit of 15 degree at the middle in contrast with different cases. A similar ascent in shear force was found in every one of the cases with the exception of the exposed edge. Shear force was 61% more on account of construction with 15 degree contort and variety of 8% was seen with structure with 10 degree bend in contrast with uncovered outline.
  - Mode distinction was found in the principal stage where the exposed edge was most steady in contrast with different cases. Other than the design with bit of 10 degree opposed the vibrations in time history investigation when contrasted with different modes.
  - Base shear was greatest if there should be an occurrence of exposed outline though the construction with spot of 10 degree at focus all around contained the base shear in contrast with other considered cases.Base shear was half higher when contrasted with different instances of design with curve.
  - Story float is higher in structure with a bit of 15 degree when contrasted with different cases. Story float is incredibly affected by the presence of inconsistency. It was observed that a construction with a bit of 15 degree at focus showed greatest story float, while uncovered outline showed least story float. The outcomes are inside as far as possible according to the Indian Standard code IS 1893:2016 (proviso 7.11.1).

# VI. REFERENCES

[1]. Youyi Xiong and Chonghou Zhang, [Mechanical Performance of High-Rise Twisted Diagrid Tube Structure System], 6th Annual International Conference on Architecture and Civil Engineering (ACE 2018).

- [2]. Vikash Yadav and Anurag Bajpai, [SEISMIC STUDY OF DIAGRID STRUCTURE WITH BRACE FRAME AND DAMPER FRAME SYSTEM OF DIFFERENT ARRANGEMENT], Journal of Civil Engineering and Environmental Technology, Volume 7, Issue 2; April-June 2020, pp. 174-178.
- [3]. Javed Shaikh, Arbaz Shaikh, Gaus Patel, Shazeb Sayed and Rafiq Tinwala, [Analysis and Design of Twisted Skyscraper Building Using ETAB], International Journal of Engineering Development and Research, ISSN: 2321-9939 |
  ©IJEDR 2020 Year 2020, Volume 8, Issue 3.
- [4]. Hanna Golasz-Szolomicka and Jerzy
  Szolomicki, [Architectural and Structural Analysis of Selected Twisted Tall Buildings],
  IOP Conf. Series: Materials Science and Engineering 471 (2019).
- [5]. Prashanth Kumar N and Dr. Y.M.Manjunath,
   [Seismic analysis of vertically geometric irregular structures], International Journal of Scientific Development and Research (IJSDR),
   May 2018 IJSDR | Volume 3, Issue 5.
- [6]. Asra Fathima and Shashi Kumar N V, [Behavior Of Vertical Irregular Building In Different Seismic Zones], European Journal of Molecular & Clinical Medicine ISSN 2515-8260 Volume 07, Issue 08, 2020.
- [7]. Abdollah Baghaei Daemei, Elham Mehrinejad Khotbehsara, Erfan Malekian Nobarani and Payam Bahrami, [Study on wind aerodynamic and flow characteristics of triangular shaped tall buildings and CFD simulation in order to assess drag coefficient], Ain Shams Engineering Journal 10 (2019) 541–548.
- [8]. Kyoung Sun Moon, [Structural Design and Construction of Complex-Shaped Tall Buildings], IACSIT International Journal of



Engineering and Technology, Vol. 7, No. 1, February 2015.

- [9]. Sanjay R and Dr. B. Shivakumaraswamy, [COMPARATIVE STUDY ON THE STRUCTURAL BEHAVIOR OF RCC AND STEEL TWISTED BUILDING], International Research Journal of Engineering and Technology (IRJET), Volume: 06 Issue: 09 | Sep 2019.
- [10].D.H. Lee, E.S. Kim, D.E. Kang and T. Kim, [Seismic Performance Evaluation of Twisted Outrigger System], 15WCEE, LISBOA 2012.
- [11].Giuseppe Nitti, Giuseppe Lacidogna and Alberto Carpinteri, [Structural Analysis of High-rise Buildings under Horizontal Loads: A Study on the Piedmont Region Headquarters Tower in Turin], The Open Construction & Building Technology Journal, 2019, Volume 13.
- [12].Nihal Mohammed and Shajil N, [PERFORMANCE OF DIFFERENT DIAGRID SHAPE IN HIGH RISE STEEL BUILDINGS WITH MASS ECCENTRICITY], International Journal of Scientific & Engineering Research Volume 10, Issue 5, May-2019 ISSN 2229-5518.3.
- [13].Rohan Singh and Vikas Prabhakar, [Study of Multistoried Buildings with Oblique Columns], International Journal of Engineering Research & Technology (IJERT), ISSN: 2278-0181, Vol. 9 Issue 08, August-2020.

#### Cite this article as :

Anmol Khare, Vijay Tembhere, Lokesh Harinkhede, "Analysis of Twisted Tall Structure Considering Lateral Load Using ETABS", International Journal of Scientific Research in Civil Engineering (IJSRCE), ISSN : 2456-6667, Volume 6 Issue 3, pp. 288-296, May-June 2022.

URL : https://ijsrce.com/IJSRCE226328

