

Seismic Analysis of A Tall Structure Considering Diagrid And Tuned Dampers Using ETABS A Review

Abhra Tandon, Praveen Singhai

Department of Civil Engineering, Lakshmi Narain College of Technology, Bhopal, Madhya Pradesh, India

ABSTRACT

Article Info

Volume 5, Issue 6

Page Number : 139-148

Publication Issue :

November-December-2021

Article History

Accepted : 02 Dec 2021

Published : 12 Dec 2021

Construction of multi-storey buildings is increasing nowadays throughout the world. This is because of advances in construction methodology, materials, analysis and design software. "DIAGRID" Diagonalised Grid structure has arisen as quite possibly the most imaginative and versatile way to deal with primary structure in a thousand years. Diagrid comprises a border framework consisting of a progression of located support frameworks. Diagrid is framed by crossing the corner to corner and level parts. The corner to corner individuals from diagrid can convey both gravity load just as sidelong burden by pivotal activity as in support. Modellers consistently pursue new complex constructions. Diagrid framework gives a wide scope of primary productivity and has tasteful potential. The located module can likewise be ornament diamond formed. Advances in development innovation, materials, primary frameworks and logical strategies for investigation and configuration worked with the development of elevated structures.

Keywords: Diagrid, Structural System, High rise buildings, Structural design, Structural Analysis, Tuned Dampers, displacement.

I. INTRODUCTION

The quick development of the metropolitan populace and subsequent tension on restricted space has impressively impacted the private advancement of the city. The significant expense of land, the craving to stay away from ceaseless endless suburbia, and the need to protect significant horticultural creation have all added to private structures up. As the stature of a structure expands, the lateral load opposing framework turns out to be a higher priority than the

underlying framework that opposes the gravitational burdens. The horizontal burden opposing frameworks that are generally utilized are the inflexible edge, shear divider, divider outline, propped tube framework, outrigger framework and rounded framework. As of late, the diagrid – Diagonal Grid – the primary framework is generally utilized for tall steel structures because of its underlying productivity and stylish potential given by the novel mathematical design of the framework. Diagrid has a decent appearance and it is handily perceived. The design and effectiveness of a diagrid framework diminish the

quantity of underlying components needed on the façade of the structures, thusly less check to the external view.

In this paper we are reviewing the literatures and research publications of authors related to analysis of tall structure with lateral loadings and different lateral load resisting elements.

II. LITERATURE REVIEW

Sameeran R. Takle et al. (2020) the paper contemplated a G+41 story multistoried

R.C.C building model that was displayed utilizing Etabs 2018 programming. Reaction range examination was made by considering structures arranged in zone III. Building models are examined by Etabs 2018 programming to consider the impact story shear, base shear, time span, base minutes, most extreme story relocation and greatest story float and so forth This investigation was intended to examine and plan the diagrid structures for elevated structures with shifting calculation. To examine the conduct of parallel powers on tall structures with differing math. To apply diagrid underlying frameworks on the constructions and discover the ideal exhibition of this framework with reasonable calculation in the separate seismic zone. To analyze the designs dependent on firmness boundaries, relative dislodging, flexibility and obstruction contrasted and one another. To propose a reasonable, monetary and ideal situation of diagrid underlying framework appropriate as per the separate sidelong burden. To contemplate the reaction of structures as far as story shear, base shear, time span, base minutes, greatest story relocation and most extreme story float and so forth

Results presumed that diagrid designs can be made powerful by giving extra sections close to the outskirts of the constructions. From the investigation, it was seen that the vast majority of the parallel

burden was opposed by diagrid sections on the fringe, while gravity load was opposed by both the inside segments and fringe slanting segments. The dead burden and pillar load increments with the tallness of the construction. Diagrid performs better across every one of the measures of execution assessment, like proficiency, expressiveness and supportability. Diagrid structure gives a more stylish look and gives more inside space. Because of the more modest number of sections, the façade of the structure can likewise be arranged all the more effectively.

Vikash Yadav and Anurag Bajpai (2020), In this paper, they learned about the 30m X 30m arrangement of diagrid design and damper construction of the distinctive game plan. Seismic zone III, soil type II, investigation done by the reaction range strategy on ETAB'S. Result as far as the time span, story float, story relocation, story firmness and base shear. After investigation diagrid structure performs better compared to the damper. To examine seismic conduct of working for the normal arrangement under seismic loads and burden mixes according to IS 1893:2016. To assess the reaction of diagrid and damper framework distinctive plan. To decide seismic boundaries that are time-frame, methods of vibration, base shear, story relocation, story float and story solidness.

Results inferred that among all the diagrid models dissected, gives minimal worth in the thought about the boundary. Furthermore, more in story firmness. Time taken in the main mode is least in diagrid structure and other all disturbing diagrid structure, 49.78% more in Damper in the corner and 74.25% more in Damper in the middle. Float is least in diagrid in general examinations shows concerning diagrid structure, 73.77% more in Damper in the corner and 128.16% more in Damper in the middle. Dislodging is least

in diagrid structure and other all disturbing diagrid structure, 85.36% more in Damper in the corner and 137.64% more in Damper in the middle. Base shear is least in diagrid structure reason for less weight of the construction and other all disturbing diagrid structure, 19.94% more in Damper in the corner and 19.81% more in Damper in the middle. Story solidness is most extreme for Diagrid structure from all models. Diagrid structure is obviously superior to every thought to be a model. Furthermore, in diagrid structure utilizing 20-25% less structure material by which weight of the structure is diminished. For seismic impact one of the central points is the heaviness of the structure.

Meman Suraiyabanu Mohamed Salim (2020), In this paper, a predictable floor plan of 36mx36m situated in seismic zone V for G+49 storey tall structure was thought of, and all actual individuals were arranged according to IS 456:2000. Quake factors were estimated from 1893-2002. Dead and live loads were referenced according to Indian Standards. Here, investigation of diagrid and hexagrid frameworks will be coordinated by utilizing plan programming STAAD Pro. Twelve models were demonstrated in staad.pro aggregately of corner shear divider, centre shear divider, diagrid framework and hexagrid framework structures as to vary in their blend of the outside primary framework and inner underlying framework. Both dynamic (Response range investigation) and static examination of these models have been yielded out to manage their exhibition.

Results finished up a correlation of story relocation where the qualities from static and reaction range strategy investigation dislodging for the typical structure is 92 % more than the centre + corner + diagrid model structure. In Diagrid and hexagrid model's uprooting was less when contrasted with ordinary structures. Thus, the diagrid and hexagrid frameworks were acceptable in story removal and Comparison of story float

were the upsides of story float from the investigation in the corner shear divider model were 41% more than the corner + diagrid model. Story float of Diagrid and hexagrid model was not exactly the ordinary structure and shear divider structures model. In this way, the diagrid and hexagrid frameworks were acceptable in story float

Saman Sadeghi and Fayaz R. Rofooei,(2020) the paper explored that respect, the impacts of BRBs on the seismic execution qualities of diagrids, for example, reaction alteration factor, R, overstrength factor, Ω_0 , pliability proportion, μ , and middle breakdown limit, Δ SCT, are assessed. To this end, 6 three dimensional diagrid structures with different statures and inclining points are displayed utilizing the OpenSees program and are furnished with BRBs in an original game plan. Using nonlinear static investigation, the seismic presentation components of models are assessed. In this way, the middle breakdown limit (Δ SCT) of the models are dictated by performing nonlinear powerful investigations.

Results inferred that another methodology for working on the seismic execution of diagrid structures through the use of BRBs was proposed. 6 three dimensional nonlinear diagrid models were somewhat prepared by the BRBs in a proposed plan, and nonlinear weakling and time history investigations were led. Generally, the got results demonstrated that incomplete substitution of the slanting components by BRBs, as recommended, could further develop the seismic presentation variables of diagrids by effectively collecting the plastic harms in BRBs, accordingly keeping the excess diagonals from clasping. Likewise, the conveyance of the plastic conduct of the diagonals turns out to be more uniform all through the design when part of the way outfitted with BRBs

Ravish Khan and S.B. Shinde (2019),This paper presents the investigation of the 20- storey diagrid

structure in examination with the outside propped outline structure. Examination results and plan of both the models are introduced as far as story shear, removal, float and synopsis of sidelong and gravity powers and in the diagrid structures, the upward segments from the outskirts are killed and this builds the principle contrast among diagrids and outside supported edges. Having located arrangement, the diagrids had the option to convey the gravity and parallel burdens. They likewise adequately limit shear misshapen as the diagonals convey the heaps pivotally. The diagrid underlying framework was embraced these days for tall structures due to its firmness and adaptability in compositional arranging.

Results reasoned that the diagrid structure opposes roughly similar measures of lateral loads when contrasted with the outside propped structure, regardless of the relative multitude of vertical segments being disposed of in the outskirts of the diagrid structure. Diagrid structure gives more productivity than supported design. Likewise, less measure of story shear was found in the diagrid structure than in the supported casing structure. The popular narrative float of the diagrid structure was less by 30.7% than in the outside outline structure. The popular narrative removal of the diagrid structure is less by 46.7% than in the outside outline structure. This load of elements make the diagrid structure safer than the propped outline structure. Diagrid structure gives a more stylish look and gives more inside space because of fewer segments and the façade of the structure can likewise be arranged all the more productively Jayesh Akhand and J.N Vyas,(2019) in this paper, they planned a 16 story diagrid structure with an arrangement of 18 m × 18 m size is thought of. Staad proficient programming framework is utilized for demonstrating and examination of primary individuals. All primary individuals were planned according to IS 456:2000 thinking

about all heap blends. Seismic burden as Dynamic burden according to IS 1893-2002 and Wind load as IS 875-section 3 considered for examination and plan of the construction. Burden dispersion in the diagrid framework was likewise read for 16 story structures.

Results reasoned that investigation and plan of diagrid working in various arrangement shapes i.e Circular and Triangular were completed and contrast and customary structure. examination esteems were analyzed as far as Moment, Shear power, Axial power, Displacement, Drift and the conservative angle is thought about for the seismic zone III and furthermore its miles found that because of askew sections in the external edge of the constructions, the diagrid structure is more viable in horizontal burden obstruction. Because of this resource of diagrid shape, the inside segment was utilized of more modest size for gravity load opposition and a best little amount of sidelong burden was considered for it. While on account of the customary structure, every gravity and the horizontal burden was opposed with the guide of outside like the inside segment.

Gurudath et al. (2019), the project introduced a solidness based plan approach for deciding primer part sizes of R.C.C. diagrid structures for a G+14 story building utilizing ETABS 2015. The strategy was applied to the diagrid to decide the ideal framework arrangement of the diagrid structure and further its correlation was with ordinary R.C.C structure. Examination of a G+14 story working with an edge diagrid of 630,660,690 was done by the Equivalent Static Method.

Results presumed that the Story uprooting and story float was greatest for RC uncovered edge and least for RC outline with diagrid. Also, the Top story uprooting, story float and story toppling second were less for a diagrid framework with a slanting point of

63 degrees. RC diagrid outline has a relocation which was 78%-84% less when contrasted with RC exposed edges and RC diagrid outline has the float which is 78%- 84% less when contrasted with RC uncovered casings. The Story toppling second was greatest for RC uncovered casing and least for RC outline with diagrid. Story solidness was least for RC uncovered casing and greatest for RC outline with diagrid. RC diagrid outline has a firmness that is 75%-82% high when contrasted with RC exposed casings.

Bhavani Shankar and Priyanka M V (2018), the new investigation examination was made on concrete diagrid building and ordinary structure of comparative arrangement size (15x15)m and the investigation was made on the reaction of the construction by differing the story range from G+5 to G+15. Another examination was completed for diagrid and traditional constructions of comparative arrangement size (18x18)m with same story stature G+15, and the impact of point of diagrid and length of diagrid was contemplated and was contrasted and the customary framework.

Results reasoned that Lateral uprooting is caused because of the sidelong powers following up on the structure. The uprooting of the diagrid structure was discovered to be lesser when contrasted and the customary design. The greatest rate decrease in uprooting was seen to be more in diagrid structure than that of the ordinary design of comparable arrangement size and a similar number of stories. Story shear and Base shear of the diagrid framework was discovered to be greatest when contrasted and the customary construction. It was clear that the worth of story float increments as the number of stories increments up to a specific level and afterwards, it diminishes. The float up to G+14 expanded continuously however was discovered to be diminished at the G+15 story. The diagrid framework was discovered to be significant for skyscraper

structures as float diminishes with the increment in elevation of the construction after a

specific degree of tallness. The float worth of the diagrid structure was nearly not exactly the regular design

Pattan Venkatesh et al. (2018), this paper presents the underlying conduct of three models of 60 story structures viz., Conventional unbending outlined structure with the rectangular arrangement having plan measurements of 24mx24m, diagrid working with the rectangular arrangement having plan measurements of 24mx24m and diagrid working with the roundabout arrangement having an arrangement width of 24m. Demonstrating and Analysis for all the above structures were accomplished for gravity, quake and wind loads utilizing ETABS programming. IS 800:2007 was utilized for the plan of the underlying individuals. Each of the three models was examined and analyzed utilizing the boundaries like base shear, story dislodging, time spans, primary weight and story float.

Results finished up the most extreme uprooting of the floor because of seismic burdens was given by $(h/250)$. The greatest removal of the floor because of seismic burdens was remembered for this reach. Since the same static technique was affected when period expected, the removals assessed because of the same static strategy were more noteworthy than the relocations because of the reaction range strategy. The solidness of the structure along the X bearing was more prominent than the firmness along the Y course. In this manner, the sidelong dislodging along the X course is more noteworthy than the horizontal uprooting along the Y bearing. One might say that diagrid primary frameworks offer better exhibitions as far as execution assessment like proficiency and manageability. It was seen that the diagrid primary frameworks have a moderately more modest redirection than the traditional unbending design. The underlying load of the diagrid primary

framework was decreased to a more noteworthy degree than the development of the traditional inflexible design. It has additionally been discovered that diagrid underlying frameworks were more impervious to sidelong forces.

Pooja Liz Isaac and Bennet A Ipe (2017), The goal of this paper was to study and think about the presentation of Diagrid, Octagrid and Hexagrid structures with fluctuated askew points and shifted module thickness under unique stacking and furthermore to track down the underlying framework that shows the most un-popular narrative uprooting and float, the ideal scope of the corner to corner point having better solidness and relationship of the time-frame to parallel firmness. Think about the underlying weight and material expense of all structure models to decide the most conservative alternative among the models.

Results inferred that each model considered here has fulfilled the cutoff points for relocation and story float according to IS 1893(II). Diagrid with 4 story modules displays lower uprooting, story float, story shear, time span and Structural weight. Boundaries showed a transcending of this module size. Subsequently, one might say that a Diagrid working with 4 story modules having a slanting point of 67.38° was the ideal inclining point and it was the awesome, proficient and practical model. The primary presentation of Hexagrid and Octagrid underlying frameworks decays with a reduction in module thickness. All models aside from Hexagrid 12m module and Octagrid 12m module, which were the low module thickness models, can be considered as a practical and productive option in contrast to the outside supporting steel structures. Diagrid primary framework gives greater adaptability in arranging the inside space and veneer of the structure.

Avnish Kumar Rai & Rashmi Sakalle,(2017) in the given exploration they contributed that the steel diagrid structure at an external bit of the structure at 60 degrees having an internal centre of R.C.C

segments with R.C.C shaft and the section was dissected and contrasted and a regular substantial structure. The inclining individual from the diagrid structure moved the sidelong loads by hub activity contrasted with the bending of vertical segments in the regular structure framework. A normal eleven-story RCC working with an arrangement size of $16\text{ m} \times 16\text{ m}$ situated in seismic zone V and III are considered for investigation. STAAD.Pro programming is utilized for displaying and investigation of primary. The seismic zone was considered according to IS 1893.

Results deduced in this investigation, it was seen that because of the corner to corner segments at the external outskirts of the constructions, the diagrid structure was all the more successfully impervious to sidelong load. Because of this property of diagrid structure, the inside segment was utilized of more modest size for gravity load obstruction and just a little amount of horizontal burden was considered for it. While in regular casing building, both gravity and the horizontal burden was confined by both outside and inside segments. The accompanying focuses were closed from an above investigation about diagrid structure and the examination shows that diagrid structure diminishes bowing second which in outcome diminishes support prerequisite. It additionally shows that parallel uprooting in tall constructions can be limited by utilizing diagrids.

Manthan I. Shah et al. (2016) In this examination, seven steel structures of the indistinguishable base region and loadings with various statures were intended for ideal areas for both underlying frameworks diagrid and customary casings in ETABS. Different boundaries like essential time-frame, most extreme popular narrative parallel uprooting, greatest base shear, steel weight, rate contrasts indifference in steel weight, greatest story relocation and greatest story float were considered in this investigation. A Diagrid structure performs well than regular edge designs and expansions in steel weight with an

increment in tallness of the structure were significantly less in diagrid structures.

Results inferred that the diagrid primary framework had arisen as a superior answer for parallel burden opposing framework as far as horizontal removals, steel weight and firmness. It was sufficiently solid to oppose wrap powers up to higher statures and the diagrid structure gives high effectiveness as far as steel weight alongside the stylish appearance. For 24 story structures, the heaviness of the ordinary edge is 100% more than the diagrid building and removals on every story and story floats were seen to be less in diagrid frameworks when contrasted with the customary casing.

Manthan I. Shah et al. (2016), In this examination, seven steel structures of the indistinguishable base region and loadings with various statures were intended for ideal areas for both underlying frameworks diagrid and traditional casings in ETABS. Different boundaries like basic time-frame, greatest popular narrative sidelong removal, most extreme base shear, steel weight, rate contrasts in difference in steel weight, greatest story relocation and most extreme story float are considered in this examination. A Diagrid structure performs well than traditional edge constructions and expansions in steel weight with an increment in tallness of the structure was extensively less in diagrid structures.

Results inferred that the diagrid underlying framework that arose was a superior answer for horizontal burden opposing framework as far as parallel removals, steel weight and solidness. It is sufficiently hardened to oppose wrap powers up to higher statures. The diagrid structure gives high effectiveness as far as steel weight alongside the stylish appearance. For 24 story structures, the heaviness of the customary edge was 100%

more than the diagrid building. Relocations on every story and story floats were seen to be less in diagrid frameworks when contrasted with the ordinary edges.

Vikash Yadav and Anurag Bajpai (2016) In this examination primary investigation of G+44 storey steel outline, diagrid structure with framework point 67.32. In other two edges utilizing x-propping at all appearances, at the corner, at the middle and damper at the corner, at the middle. The arrangement considered for all models was 30m X 30m and the strategy utilized for investigation was the Response range examination technique. All the part was planned according to IS456:2000, IS800:2007 and burden mix for seismic power were considered according to IS1893(Part-1):2016. The method of displaying additionally examination was done on ETABSv17.0.1 programming. The exhibition was assessed from different. Investigation of seismic conduct of structures for a standard arrangement under seismic burdens and blends as per IS 1893: 2016. To evaluate the report of diagrid and supported edge horizontal opposing power framework structure. To animate seismic boundaries that were base shear, methods of vibration, time span, story deracination, story drop off and story compelling.

Results presumed that time taken in the principal mode was least in diagrid structure and other all unsettling diagrid structure, 10.66% more in X-propping in all appearances, 55.46% more in X-supporting at the corner, 89.27% more in X-propping in the middle. The float was least in X-propping in all countenances after 27 stories before 27 story Diagrid structure having least worth yet in general examinations show concerning diagrid structure, the greatest worth of float was 5.16% less in X Bracing in all appearances, 81.5% more in X-supporting at the corner, 150.5% more in X-supporting in the middle. Dislodging was least in diagrid structure and other all unsettling diagrid structure, 4.49% more in X Bracing in all appearances, 95.69% more in X-propping at the corner, 169.75% more in X-supporting in the middle.

Andre R. Barbosa and Garlan Ramadhan,(2014) in this paper they worked more than, a 72-story model structure was utilized to act as an illustration for which the plan and investigation of the diagrid framework were performed. To relieve the conceivable huge relocation and base shear requests that these constructions may go through under seismic occasions, two new plan arrangements comprising of a couple of grinding tuned mass damper (TMD) units are investigated. In the principal arrangement, a TMD was put on the best four accounts of the structure and was tuned to decrease the commitment of the major method of vibration of the construction, in both level bearings. In the subsequent arrangement, a twofold TMD framework was added at the mid-stature of the structure, in which a second TMD unit is tuned to the second time of the design.

Result reasoned that utilizing a nonlinear limited component model of the tuned mass damper, the adequacy of the grating mass damper plan was considered. The outcomes show that the single TMD framework can diminish altogether the pinnacle base response and between story float envelopes. The expansion of the second TMD gives further enhancements as far as diminishing the pinnacle base responses, while likewise creating eminent decreases in top outright floor speed increases, which were not seen when just a single TMD unit was utilized

Garlan Ramadhan and André R. Barbosa(2014) In this work, a model structure with 72 stories is utilized to act as an illustration for which the plan and investigation of the diagrid framework were performed. Grinding mass dampers are given at the highest point of the structure to moderate the conceivable enormous relocation and base shear requests that these constructions may go through under seismic occasions. Utilizing a nonlinear limited component model of the mass damper, which is associated with a

straight model of the structure, the viability of the erosion mass damper framework is examined and the mass damper framework comprises of an extra substantial tank containing sand or water. The tank is put in the middle of the structure to the supported cement primary center and the outside steel diagrid framework. This mass damper is associated with the design utilizing grating pendulum framework (FPS) isolators, which are picked because of their capacity to go through huge mishappenings.

Results presumed that the grating, mass, and tallness of the TMD were boundaries examined. In the first place, concerning the grinding, multiplying the rubbing coefficients of the erosion isolator give the best outcomes and further diminished the general base shears to about 22.0%. Second, expanding the mass will in general marginally decrease the base shears, while lessening the mass diminishes the viability of the TMD, yet the general change in mass by 20% didn't affect the significant results, which recommends that if the expense was thought of, more modest masses might be justified. Regardless, these outcomes feature the way that when erosion pendulum frameworks were utilized, the mass has a generally little influence in the tuning of the rubbing mass damper. In conclusion, lessening the tallness of the mass damper diminished the powers, yet in addition, the constructability of the TMD unit and future examinations should zero in on this subject.

III. CONCLUSION

Here Authors illustrated the utilization of tall structures, analysis of lateral load resisting members. Here authors examined various structures in comparison but none of them examined the utilization and effectiveness of lateral load resisting systems considering diagrid and dampers.

IV. REFERENCES

- [1]. Andre R. Barbosa And GarlanRamadhan,[Seismic Performance Of A Tall Diagrid Steel Building With Tuned Mass Dampers], “Edugait Press” 2014.
- [2]. Avnish Kumar Rai&RashmiSakalle,[Comparative Analysis Of A High Rise Building Frame With And Without Diagrid Effects Under Seismic Zones Iii & V],International Journal Of Engineering Sciences & Research Technology,2017.
- [3]. Bhavani Shankar And Priyanka M V, [Comparative Study Of Concrete Diagrid Building And Conventional Frame Building Subjected To Seismic Force],International Research Journal Of Engineering And Technology (Irjet) June -2018.
- [4]. GarlanRamadhan And André R. Barbosa,[Improving The Seismic Performance Of Diagrid Steel Structures Using Friction Mass Damper], National Conference In Earthquake Engineering, Earthquake Engineering Research Institute, Anchorage, Ak, 2014.
- [5]. Gurudath, Ganesh BahadurKhadka And Hafiz Faiz Karim,[Analysis Of Multi- Storey Building With And Without Diagrid System Using Etabs], “International Journal of scientific research”, May 2019.
- [6]. IS:875 (Part 1)-1987 , “Code of Practice for Design Loads (Other than Earthquake) for Buildings and Structures Part 1 Dead Loads (Second revision)”, Bureau of indian Standard, New Delhi
- [7]. IS:875 (Part 2)-1987 , “Code of Practice for Design Loads (Other than Earthquake) for Buildings and Structures Part 2 Imposed Loads (Second revision)”, Bureau of Indian Standard, New Delhi.
- [8]. IS:1893 (Part 1)-2016, “Criteria for Earthquake Resistant Design of Structures Part General Provisions and Buildings (Sixth Revision)”, Bureau of Indian Standard, New Delhi
- [9]. JayeshAkhand And J.N Vyas,[Comparative Study Of Different Shapes Of Diagrid Structure System With Conventional System Using Response Spectrum Analysis],International Research Journal Of Engineering And Technology (Irjet),Apr 2019.
- [10]. MemanSuraiyabanu Mohamed Salim,[Comparative Study Of Diagrid System, Hexagrid System And Shear Wall System In Tall Tube-Type Building],Multidisciplinary International Research Journal Of Gujarat Technological University July 2020
- [11]. Manthan I. Shah, Snehal V. Mevada, Vishal B. Patel,[Comparative Study Of Diagrid Structures With Conventional Frame Structures], Journal Of Engineering Research And Applications,May 2016
- [12]. Pooja Liz Isaac And Bennet A Ipe,[Comparative Study Of Performance Of High Rise Buildings With Diagrid, Hexagrid And Octagrid Systems Under Dynamic Loading],International Research Journal Of Engineering And Technology (Irjet) May -2017
- [13]. PattanVenkatesh, Sujay Deshpande And ShwetaPatil,[A Comparative Study On The Structural Analysis Of Diagrid Structural Systems With Conventional Structural Systems For Different Plan Configurations],International Journal For Research In Applied Science & Engineering Technology (Ijraset) June 2018
- [14]. Ravish Khan And S.B. Shinde,[Analysis Of Diagrid Structure In Comparison With Exterior Braced Frame Structure],International Journal Of Research In Engineering And Technology,2015
- [15]. Sameeran R. Takle,Aparna S. Patil,Bharati V. Mahajan,[Dynamic Analysis Of Diagrid

Structural System In High Rise Rcc Buildings
With Varying Geometry

- [16].],International Journal Of Engineering Research & Technology (Ijert),December- 2020
- [17]. SamanSadeghi And Fayaz R. Rofooei,[Improving The Seismic Performance Of Diagrid Structures Using Buckling Restrained Braces],Journal Of Constructional Steel Research (2020)
- [18]. Sunil Gurdekar And MayurSingi,[Dynamic Analysis Of Flat Slab Diagrid Structure With Response Spectrum Method],MuktShabd Journal 2020.
- [19]. VikashYadav And AnuragBajpai,[Seismic Study Of Diagrid Structure With Brace Frame And Damper Frame System Of Different Arrangement],Journal Of Civil Engineering And Environmental Technology April-June 2020.
- [20]. VikashYadav And AnuragBajpai, [Seismic Study Of Diagrid Structure With Damper Of Different Arrangement], 2020.

Cite this article as :

Abhra Tandon, Praveen Singhai, "Seismic Analysis of A Tall Structure Considering Diagrid And Tuned Dampers Using ETABS A Review", International Journal of Scientific Research in Civil Engineering (IJSRCE), ISSN : 2456-6667, Volume 5 Issue 6, pp. 139-148, November-December 2021.
URL : <https://ijsrce.com/IJSRCE215632>