International Journal of Scientific Research in Civil Engineering
© 2021 | IJSRCE | Volume 5 | Issue 6 | ISSN : 2456-6667

Analysis of a High Rise Building Frame Under Wind Pressure Considering Steel RCC Composite Structure : A Review

Mukesh Kumar Chaudhary, Afzal Khan
Department of Civil Engineering, Millennium Institute of Technology, Bhopal, Madhya Pradesh, India

Article Info
Volume 5, Issue 6
Page Number : 53-61

Publication Issue :
November-December-2021

Article History
Accepted : 01 Nov 2021
Published : 10 Nov 2021

ABSTRACT

Tall structures and innovative architectural designing are now a days on trend. every one want beautifull design with safety parameters in a high rise building. For creating such beautiful structures architects and structural engineers always keep safety and structural stability first to avoid any hazadious situation or collapse of structure. Thus in this study we are presenting a technique of structure where we can consider both safety as well as innovative design. In this paper we are presenting literature survey related to high rise structure, composite structures, lateral forces and Analysis tools.

Keywords: Composite members, lateral forces, displacement, analysis tool, tall structures.

I. INTRODUCTION

The word composite signifies "made up of unmistakable parts". By and large, composite materials characterized what materials are produced using at least two constituent materials with essentially contrast in physical or compound properties, that when prudently joined, produce material with prevalent properties than individual constituents. The principle thought of composite materials to make unordinary mix of properties for various potential applications.

Composite construction in steel and concrete combines the better properties of both concrete and steel and the same time satisfies the requirement of cost effective and speedy construction. This system of construction has been very successfully applied in North America, United Kingdom, Japan, Australia, Gulf States and in many other countries.

In this literature survey we are providing researched done in past related to composite members, structural analysis tools and seismic assessment analysis.

II. LITERATURE REVIEW

Radomir et. al. (2016)[Design and analysis of steel-concrete composite structure] here the author exhibited the present cutting edge identified with plan and examination, in light of cited references, in steel-solid composite structures. The attention was on steel pillar solid section and their associations and the impacts of their cooperation. The solid piece could be executed as to give in situ or a role as precast, fortified and additionally prestressed. Different

segments (pillars, pieces and sections) of a structure and their properties were considered. The investigation of time-subordinate disfigurements (creep and shrinkage of cement) and stress unwinding of prestressing steel was remarked. The issues managing the planning of structures and scaffolds were even secured.

The load conveying limit of composite scaffolds is a significant factor that influences the generally speaking and nonlinear extension conduct, which is researched by utilizing distinctive FEM models, for example, ADINE code, the ABAQUS programming, and by various models and utilizing various sorts of components. The nonlinear examination was displayed in the exploration paper.

Brian (2012)[Recent Innovations for Steel and Composite Steel-Concrete Structures in Australia] here the author's exploration paper presented the historical backdrop of steel and composite steel-solid development from the viewpoint of uses in Australia over a century.

A rundown of configuration approaches by method for Australian Standards from an Australian structure point of view has been given. A significant part of the exploration led in Australia has been supporting the applications and it is relevant that Australian Standards should be appropriately created to help the applications all the more genius effectively. At long last, this paper has recognized a portion of the new territories of research that have eventuated because of maintainability standards. This is another and novel technique for research in basic designing and will keep on developing an extension in the coming years.

Vinay et. al. (2016) [Design of steel concrete composite structure as comparative with reinforced concrete structure by adapting staad. Pro v8i] here the author especially examined the seismic plan and execution of composite steel-solid edges and examination of parameters like time, uprooting, minutes and burden conveying limit is finished with steel and Reinforced bond solid structures.

The outcomes were analyzed and it was discovered that composite structure was unrivalled in a few viewpoints.

Investigation and configuration aftereffects of G+15 celebrated structure with the examination of consequences of composite segment building and R.C.C. section building displayed that the diversion and story float in the composite structure was about twofold than that of R.C.C. The structure, however, the redirection was inside as far as possible. Pivotal Force and Shear power in R.C.C. structure were on the higher side than that of composite structure and Max. a twisting minute in light emissions composite structure was marginally on the higher side in certain accounts than R.C.C. Structure.

D. Datta et. al. (2016) [Steel-Concrete Composite Construction – New Trend in India] author expressed that Technological developments are required to make enthusiasm for the manufacturers to keep the land business aggressive. The utilization of Steel-Concrete Composite development systems will assist the engineers with making more benefit and the clients could likewise get more floor covering the region and strong structure at their moderate cost. The advantages of structuring Green Buildings had been seen for the most part as far as vitality sparing. The advantage of steel development contrasted with other structural materials is huge concerning such contemplations moreover. Americans Institute of Architects (AIA) in their Environmental Resources Guide prescribes that Steel is naturally less hurtful than numerous other focused alternatives. By and large, steel-concentrated development being completely recyclable gives improved ecological execution and such development offers a practical advancement to the general public with least utilization of vitality, minimization of waste and usage of inexhaustible assets and so forth. Consequently, in the coming decades, steel could be

ideally used by the Engineers to make the development of Indian culture more easy to use coordinating with the National Housing and Habitat Policy and Housing needs of natives.

Alessandro et. at. (2008) [Nonlinear Seismic Response Analysis of Steel–Concrete Composite Frames] here the essential goal of the authors' examination paper was to give further knowledge into the common vibration properties and nonlinear seismic reaction conduct of SCC edge structures and how they are affected by different demonstrating presumptions. For this reason, a physically nonlinear-just limited component detailing is utilized for static and dynamic reaction examinations of steel-solid edge structures utilizing composite bar components with the deformable sheer association. Sensible uniaxial cyclic constitutive laws are received for the steel and solid materials of the bars and segments and the sheer association. The subsequent limited component model for a benchmark issue is approved utilizing test results from the writing for semi-static cyclic tests.

The exploration paper later spotlights on the numerical recreation, because of different demonstrating suspicions, of the Eigen properties and seismic reaction of a reasonable two-dimensional five-story two-narrows minute opposing edge made of steel sections and SCC shafts and planned by the Eurocode. It is discovered that the consideration of the deformability of the shear association in the limited component model significantly affects the worldwide unique reaction of SCC casing structures. In demonstrating this kind of structures by utilizing outline components with the deformable shear association, an appropriate portrayal of the sheer association limit conditions for every single composite pillar is critical for precise reaction reproduction.

The expository outcomes expressed that the level of collaboration of the composite shafts influences essentially the general firmness and dislodging/misshaping request. Along these lines, the firmness properties _correlated to the strength_ of the shear associations must be indicated precisely. The association model utilized in this examination permitted to characterize expressly both the underlying solidness and quality of the shear associations.

Jingbo and Yang bing (2008) [Seismic behavior analysis of steel-concrete composite frame structure systems] here the author examined a 4 poly-line plastic pivot mode for the inelastic examination of composite edges to explore the seismic conduct of steel-solid composite edge structures, in view of inquires about of composite shafts and associations among pillars and sections.

The examination results represented that, contrasted and the SL-CFST outline, the fundamental solidness of the CL-CFST casing was upgraded; common periods were abbreviated; the top avoidance of and the story-float point was diminished, in the wake of considering the composite impact of RC floor sections. But since of the expansion of the solidness of composite pillars, the vital firmness of the structure and the straight firmness proportion of bar and segment was likewise changed, which presumably makes the segment harmed vigorously as well as the frail segment moved. What's more, contrasted and the CL-RC outline, under uncommon tremors, RC sections can't fulfil the interest of "no falling with a solid quake". What's more, in the wake of expanding measurements and support proportions of segments, the plastic pivot was likewise found toward the finish of sections.

The inelastic time history examination displayed that all in all, the wrapping estimation of the story-float points is littler than that of the SL-CFST outline. What's more, moreover, it changes all the more consistently alongside the stature of the structure. In any case, the inelastic reaction depends much on the chose tremor waves. Furthermore, as a result of the expansion of the solidness for composite shafts, the straight firmness proportion of the pillar to segment

and the worldwide basic firmness both change, which most likely makes the segment harmed vigorously as well as the frail area moved. So the impact which the expanded firmness of composite pillars has on the basic aseismic execution ought to be considered completely. Contrasted and the CL-ETRC outline, the edge with the first RC section can't avoid the uncommon seismic tremor. Even though the auxiliary solidness and a definitive bearing limit can be upgraded by expanding the segment measurement and the support proportion of sections, oneself weight and the quake activity are likewise expanded. Also, moreover the flexibility of RC sections is substandard compared to that of CFST segments, so RC segments was adept to be harmed under the uncommon seismic tremor, which doesn't make for opposing quake loads.

Bhavin et. al. (2016) [A Review on the Comparative Study of Steel, RCC and Composite Building] here the author's exploration paper exhibited the correlation of different parts of structure development for steel, RCC just as composite structures considering different looks into followed up on this subject.

The scientific outcomes expressed that the general reaction of the composite structure was superior to RCC structure, for example, the composite structure creates less dislodging and opposes increasingly basic powers. Composite structures were the best answer for tall structures and they bring about quick development. Steel had astounding protection from pliable stacking yet inclined to clasping and solid gives more protection from a compressive power. Steel could be utilized to incite malleability and cement could be utilized for erosion and fire security and Composite structures brought about lighter development than customary solid development just as rapid development. So the consummation time of composite structure was not exactly RCC building.

Pandey et. al. (2014) [Comparative Seismic Analysis of RCC, Steel & Steel-Concrete Composite Frame] here the author analyzed seismic execution of a 3D (G+7) story RCC, Steel and Composite structure casing arranged in quake zone V. All casings were intended for same gravity loadings. The RCC piece was utilized in each of the three cases. Bar and segment areas were made of either RCC, Steel or Steel-solid composite segments. Proportionate static technique and Response Spectrum strategy was utilized for seismic examination. SAP 2000 programming was utilized and results were thought about. Cost-adequacy dependent on material expense for a wide range of structure edges was resolved.

The similar investigation directed by the creator inferred that the composite edges are most appropriate among all the three sorts of developments as far as material money-saving advantage included with better seismic conduct.

The inferred ends additionally expressed that the base Shear for RCC edge was most extreme because the heaviness of the RCC casing was more than the steel and the composite edge. Base shear gets decreased by 40% for Composite casing and 45% for Steel outline in contrast with the RCC casing and decrease in the expense of Composite casing is 33% and Steel edge is 27% contrasted and the expense of RCC outline. This included material cost just and does exclude creation cost, transportation cost, work cost and so forth.

Trilok Gupta and Ravi Sharma (2015) [Steel concrete composite structures: state of art] here the author expected to look at arrangements and difficulties related to composite development. A complete audit of examination and conduct of composite development with exchange shear associations were inspected. Use of neural systems in composite development was even considered in the explanatory research paper.

The different audits from the author expressed that the most extreme vertical removals in the short-and long haul can likewise be assessed for basically upheld and persistent composite pillars utilizing exact limited component models. The impacts of slip and dampness move on the conduct of steel. the solid

composite bar was additionally announced. Then again, the analyst revealed that neural systems can be connected effectively on composite development models. Ordinarily, headed Shear Connectors are for the most part utilized for the steel-solid composite shafts and extensions. In any case, new kinds of shear connectors are in effect progressively utilized and studies have been completed such shear connectors. Concentrates demonstrated that epoxy cement can likewise be effectively utilized as a substitute of the shear connector in composite development.

Muhammed Sabith et. al. (2017) [Seismic Analysis of Irregular Composite Structures with Shear Connectors using ETABS] here the author considered the impact of stud shear connector in composite structures and contrasted it and the regular RCC structure. The creator did on plan sporadic structures with a medium-ascent working of 10-story. Displaying and investigation have done to assess the conduct of the shear connector in plan unpredictable structures and is contrasted and RCC structures. Its variety concerning the RCC structure was found to pick the best development technique. The parameters considered were story uprooting, base shear, story float, firmness, hub power in segments, shear power in shafts and mass of the structure. The arrangements of IS-11384 1985 was considered. The seismic conduct of these structures was assessed by Response range examination with the assistance ETABS V 16.

The end from the research paper expressed that the composite structures have huge parallel dislodging than RCC structure which was around 23% to 32% displayed that it was progressively adaptable. The story shear was diminished by 43% to 67% concerning RCC structure displayed composite structure was reasonable for seismic tremor safe developments. The impact of the shear connector in a composite structure made the composite structure more profitable than RCC structure. Henceforth obviously the composite development was an elective strategy for the development business and it has a splendid future in India.

Salekin et.at (2013) [Cost analysis of steel concrete composite structures in Bangladesh] here the author investigated the cost-viability of composite development for medium to tall structures in Bangladesh. An expense versus the quantity of story bend displayed that for low-ascent structures RCC outline framework was less expensive than the composite framework. Notwithstanding, for structures with a few stories more noteworthy than 15, composite development winds up financial than RCC development.

The research paper reasoned that for medium to tall structures steel-solid composite edge framework was a superior decision over a fortified solid edge framework from both economy and workableness perspective. For elevated structures developed with composite edges, cost diminishes because of the utilization of the littler cross-sectional component, utilization of less steel, utilization of less formwork for solid, low work cost and so forth. Steel solid composite edge framework can be a monetarily feasible answer for tall structures in Bangladesh.

Kumawat et. al. (2014) [Analysis and design of multistory building using composite structure] here the author considered steel concrete composite with RCC options for comparative study of G+9 story commercial building which was situated in earthquake zone-III and for earthquake loading, the provisions of IS: 1893 (Part1)-2002 was considered. A three dimensional modeling and analysis of the structure was carried out with the help of SAP 2000 software. Equivalent Static Method of Analysis and Response spectrum analysis method was used for the analysis of both Composite and RCC structures.

The results stated that the dead weight of composite structure is found to be 15% to 20% less than RCC structure and hence the seismic forces are reduced by 15% to 20% and stiffness in composite structure increased by 12% to 15% in transverse direction and

about 6% to 10% in longitudinal direction as compared to reinforced concrete structure.

The shear force in response spectrum analysis was even found to be less by 31% to 47% in transverse direction and about 30% to 45% in longitudinal direction in composite column than the RCC column and above all, In composite structure due to high ductile nature of steel it leads to increased seismic resistance of the composite section. Steel component can be deformed in a ductile manner without premature failure and can withstand numerous loading cycles before fracture.

These results concluded that that composite structure more Economical.

Sutar & P. M. Kulkarni (2016) [Comparative inelastic analysis of RCC and steel-concrete composite frame] here the author aimed to perform inelastic i.e. nonlinear static pushover analysis of Steel-Concrete Composite frame (encased rolled steel section in concrete and concrete filled steel section) using E-tab 9.7. Parameters such as story drift, story displacement, base shear, shear force etc. were considered so as to study the performance of steel-concrete composite section.

The research paper concluded that Steel-concrete composite frame having more lateral load capacity compare to RCC frame, No unexpected plastic hinges were observed from inelastic analysis for both RCC & composite frame. But yield mechanism of composite is superior to RCC. And this proved that Composite moment resisting frame has better performance in high seismicity as compared to RCC.

Mahajan et. al. (2016) [Performance analysis of RCC and steel concrete composite structure under seismic effect] here the research paper presented the effect of FEC (Fully Encased Composite) on a G+ 20 storey special moment frame .In this paper two different structures were considered for the comparison under seismic analysis. The linear static analysis and nonlinear static analysis i.e. “Pushover analysis” was done for G+20 storey structure. The building was analyzed and design for seismic loading by using ETAB software. The unique method of pushover analysis was followed with the help of FEMA 36 specifications and for hinge formation ATC40 was considered. Results are compared for the Base shear, Modal time period, Storey displacement and storey drift for both structures. As the composite was having more lateral stiffness, the results of time period and storey displacement showed the significant variation. While analyzing for “Non-linear static analysis the performance point for the FEC was significantly much more as compared to the RCC model.

Chaudhary et. al. (2011) [Seismic analysis of steel concrete composite walls of nuclear power plant structures] here the author examined the seismic analysis and behavior of steel concrete composite walls and compared with the behavior of RC walls. Inelastic seismic analysis of steel concrete composite walls is carried out using the finite element software ABAQUS and the results were compared with RC walls. Modal and time history analysis was carried out for both types of the shear walls.

The results stated that the natural frequency of the composite wall with rigid connection between steel and concrete was found to be higher than that of the reinforced concrete shear wall which shows that the composite walls are more rigid than the reinforced concrete shear walls. The displacements at the top of the shear wall were found to be more for the reinforced concrete walls as compared to the composite walls which again proved that the composite walls can be quite effective in controlling the drift. The concrete was found to be more damaged, due to cracking, in case of reinforced concrete wall than the composite walls. The composite walls can therefore be a good alternative of the conventional reinforced concrete shear walls for high rise structures and nuclear power plants.

Edoardo et.al. (2005) [Composite Steel and Concrete Structures: Technology and Design] here the author reviewed the fundamental structural response

characteristics and technological issues of composite steel and concrete systems. It assesses the pros and cons of composite structural systems and investigates the efficacy of beam-column members. Design rules for composite constructions were presented and discussed in details in order to get deep insight of the research paper.

The results led the research paper conclude that Interaction between steel and concrete, beam-to-column and base column connections require additional extensive experimental and numerical work as the corresponding design rules relies on limited datasets.

Sudarshan Bhutekar et. al. (2018) [Pushover Analysis of G+15 Steel and Steel-Concrete Composite Frame Structure] here the author compared performance of G+ 15 storey for steel and\ composite (steel-concrete) when earthquake load incrementally increases on the structure. Composite construction combines the best of both steel and concrete along with lesser cost, speedy construction, fire protection etc. whereas steel has high strength to weight ratio.

It was observed that the performance of steel structure was on higher side than that of the steel concrete composite frame structure. This study focused on how steel frame structure could be veteran and most economical over the RCC at its seismic performance. Pushover analysis concluded that the steel frame structure proved itself as a one of the safe choice for construction in seismic zone.

Sanjay kulkarni, et. al. (2017) [Inelastic Analysis of RCC And Composite Structures] here the authors research paper was based on a comparative study of RCC and composite structure in seismic zone III. The seismic behavior of the study frames designed by the proposed methodology was evaluated by Response spectrum and nonlinear time-history analysis and .ETABS software was used for modeling and analysis.

The results presented that from the analysis of model it was seen that the hinges are formed in beam element first rather than column and conclusions stated that composite frame follows strong column weak beam concept or capacity based design concept.

Mohd amir khan (2017) [Comparative Study of R.C.C & Structural Steel -Concrete Composite Frame for Linear and Non-Linear Analysis] here the primary aim of the research paper was to compare seismic performance of RCC, Steel and Composite building frame situated in earthquake zone IV. All frames are designed for same gravity loadings .The slab was used in concrete and deck slab in composite building. Beam and column sections was made of Either RCC and Structural Steel-concrete composite sections. Equivalent static method and Response Spectrum method was used for seismic analysis and Non-linear static pushover analysis.

Results here concluded that Base Shear for RCC frame was more than Structural Steel-concrete composite because the weight of the RCC frame was more than the composite frame. Base shear gets reduced by 30% for Composite frame. Structural Steel-Concrete composite frame was found superior as compared to R.C.C in Linear-static Analysis & Linear-Static Dynamic Analysis and NON-Linear Static analysis.

III. CONCLUSION

Here authors observed that the composite members are resulting in better stability during lateral forces, but none of them explained the principle of creating composite sections and about its cost effectiveness.

IV. REFERENCES

[1]. Radomir Folić, Vlastimir Radonjanin,et.at(2016)[DESIGN AND ANALYSIS OF STEEL-CONCRETE COMPOSITE STRUCTURE] University of Novi Sad, Faculty of Technical Sciences, Department of Civil Engineering Novi Sad, Serbia.

[2]. Brian Uy (2012)[Recent Innovations for Steel and Composite Steel-Concrete Structures in Australia]CIES, Volume II

[3]. Vinay Damam(2016)[DESIGN OF STEEL CONCRETE COMPOSITE STRUCTURE AS COMPARATIVE WITH REINFORCED CONCRETE STRUCTURE BY ADAPTING STAAD. PROV8I], IJESMR, [Damam*, 3(1):January, 2016]

[4]. D. Datta(2016)[Steel-Concrete Composite Construction – New Trend in India], IOSR Journal of Mechanical and Civil Engineering, e-ISSN: 2278-1684, p-ISSN: 2320–334X

[5]. Alessandro Zona; Michele Barbato et.at. (2008)[Nonlinear Seismic Response Analysis of Steel–Concrete Composite Frames], 0.1061/_ASCE_0733-9445_2008_134:6_986

[6]. LIU Jingbo and LIU Yangbing(2008)[SEISMIC BEHAVIOR ANALYSIS OF STEEL-CONCRETE COMPOSITE FRAME STRUCTURE SYSTEMS] The 14th World Conference on Earthquake Engineering October 12-17, 2008, Beijing, China

[7]. Bhavin H. Zaveri , Jasmin A. Gadhiya et.at(2016)[A Review on the Comparative Study of Steel, RCC and Composite Building] , IJIRSET, Vol. 5, Issue 1, Januray 2016

[8]. RAHUL PANDEY(2014)[Comparative Seismic Analysis of RCC, Steel & Steel-Concrete Composite Frame] National Institute of Technology, Rourkela – 769008

[9]. Trilok Gupta and Ravi K. Sharma(2015)[STEEL CONCRETE COMPOSITE STRUCTURES: STATE OF ART], International Journal of Latest Research in Science and Technology, Volume 4, Issue 2: Page No149-153, March-April 2015

[10]. Muhammed Sabith K & Dr. Sabeena M. V.(2017)[Seismic Analysis of Irregular Composite Structures with Shear Connectors using ETABS] 2017 IJSRSET | Volume 3 | Issue 3 | Print ISSN: 2395-1990 | Online ISSN : 2394-4099

[11]. Mahbuba Begum, Md. Serajus Salekin et.at (2013)[Cost analysis of steel concrete composite structures in Bangladesh] ASIAN JOURNAL OF CIVIL ENGINEERING (BHRC) VOL. 14, NO. 6 (2013)

[12]. Mahesh Suresh Kumawat and L G Kalurkar(2014)[ANALYSIS AND DESIGN OF MULTISTORY BUILDING USING COMPOSITE STRUCTURE] 2014 IJSCER. Vol. 3, No. 2, May 2014

[13]. S.R.Sutar & P.M.Kulkarni(2016)[Comparative inelastic analysis of RCC and steel-concrete composite frame] IOSR Journal of Mechanical and Civil Engineering (IOSR-JMCE), Volume 13, Issue 4 Ver. IV (Jul. - Aug. 2016), PP 22-32.

[14]. S.R.Sutar & P.M.Kulkarni(2016)[Comparative inelastic analysis of RCC and steel-concrete composite frame] IOSR Journal of Mechanical and Civil Engineering (IOSR-JMCE), Volume 13, Issue 4 Ver. IV (Jul. - Aug. 2016), PP 22-32

[15]. Abhishek Sanjay Mahajan & Laxman G. Kalurkar(2016)[PERFORMANCE ANALYSIS OF RCC AND STEEL CONCRETE COMPOSITE STRUCTURE UNDER SEISMIC EFFECT],IJRET: International Journal of Research in Engineering and Technology, Volume: 05 Issue: 04 | Apr-2016

[16]. Sandeep Chaudhary, Ahmer Ali, Dookie Kim, Sung Gook Cho(2011)[SEISMIC ANALYSIS OF STEEL CONCRETE COMPOSITE WALLS OF NUCLEAR POWER PLANT STRUCTURES], Transactions, SMiRT 21, 6-11 November, 2011, New Delhi, India

[17]. Edoardo Cosenza, Luigi Di Sarno, et.at.(2005)[Composite Steel and Concrete Structures: Technology and Design] /231581785, Conference Paper · January 2005

[18]. Sudarshan Bhutekar & Mohammed Ishtiyaque(2018)[Pushover Analysis of G+15

Steel and Steel-Concrete Composite Frame Structure], International Research Journal of Engineering and Technology (IRJET), Volume: 05 Issue: 05 | May-2018.

[19]. Dr.Sanjay kulkarni, Vishwajeet kadlag et.at.(2017)[Inelastic Analysis of RCC And Composite Structures], International Journal of Scientific & Engineering Research Volume 8, Issue 5, May-2017.

[20]. MOHD AMIR KHAN(2017)[Comparative Study of R.C.C & Structural Steel -Concrete Composite Frame for Linear and Non-Linear Analysis], International Research Journal of Engineering and Technology (IRJET), Volume: 04 Issue: 07 | July -2017

Cite this article as :

Mukesh Kumar Chaudhary, Afzal Khan, "Analysis of a High-Rise Building Frame Considering Wind Pressure Considering Steel RCC composite structure using ETABS", International Journal of Scientific Research in Civil Engineering (IJSRCE), ISSN : 2456-6667, Volume 5 Issue 6, pp. 53-61, November-December 2021.
URL : https://ijsrce.com/IJSRCE215609