International Journal of Scientific Research in Civil Engineering
© 2020 IJSRCE | Volume 4 | Issue 6 | ISSN : 2456-6667

Design Analysis of Outrigger and Hexagrid System in High Rise Buildings : A Review

Deepak Kumar Ahirwar[1*], K. Divya[2], Lokesh Singh[3]

[1]P.G. Scholar, Department of Civil Engineering, R.S.R. Rungta, Bhilai, Madhya Pradesh, India
[2]Assistant Professor, Department of Civil Engineering, R.S.R. Rungta, Bhilai, Madhya Pradesh, India
[3]Associate Professor, Department of Civil Engineering, R.S.R. Rungta, Bhilai, Madhya Pradesh, India

Article Info
Volume 4, Issue 6
Page Number: 46-52
Publication Issue :
November-December-2020

Article History
Accepted : 15 Nov 2020
Published : 25 Nov 2020

ABSTRACT

The innovation of high strength structural materials as well as the introduction of predominant development methods gave a lift in the development of tall structures. As the height of the structure increases, they become progressively vulnerable to wind load and seismic load. The opposition of tall structures to lateral loads is the fundamental determinant in the formulation of new basic structural frameworks that develop by the constant endeavors of structural engineers to go on increasing the building height while keeping the deflection inside worthy points of confinement and limiting the measure of materials. In this proposed work an analytical study will be consider on such systems like outrigger system with core shear wall and hex grid systems, so as to determine their structural efficiency in transferring the lateral loads safely to the ground.
In this study we are providing review of journals related to analysis of high rise structures.

Keywords : Structural Analysis, Forces, Deflection, Lateral Forces, Etabs

I. INTRODUCTION

In the history of structures, maybe nothing is more dazzling than the human goal to make progressively tall structures. Different social and financial factors, for example, migration of people from to urban areas looking for better way of life and openings for work, the increment in land values in urban regions and higher population density, have prompted an incredible increase in the number of tall structures all over the world. As the tall structure is best to land use strategy in present time it can spare a ton of land, hence the horizons of the world's urban areas are ceaselessly being punctured by particular and recognizable tall structures as great as mountain ranges, and achieving more height keeps on being the challenge and goal. However, there are some incredible challenges which are to be looked by the designer every day to make these structures a reality. Out of many challenges, one is that of lateral loads i.e. seismic load and wind load. So there is a need to stabilize the tall buildings against these lateral loads and to provide comfort to the occupants.

II. LITERATURE REVIEW

Daliya et. al. (2019)[9] the research paper introduced an investigation of hexagrid framework directed by utilizing examination and structure programming, ETABS. A standard floor plan 36m x 36m and sporadic floor plans moulded as C, L and T were considered, every basic part was structured according to IS 456:2000. G+30, G+40 and G+50 stories models are considered to look at the exhibition by tallness. Seismic parameters were considered from 1893-2002. Dead and live loads were considered according to Indian Standards. Results expressed that as the stature of the structure expands relocation additionally increments. The exhibition purpose of the T shape and L shape plan inconsistency was closer to one another. Timespan increments with increment in stature of the structure. Base shear was least except the C formed model.

Manzoor and Singh (2019)[15] the research paper introduced a logical investigation made on the structural system, for example, the outrigger framework with centre shear divider and hexagrid frameworks, to decide their basic effectiveness in moving the sidelong loads securely to the ground. An examination of outrigger framework with centre shear divider and a hexagrid framework was made on a 38-story building strengthened solid structure by utilizing standard bundle ETABS 2016 by looking at changed parameters, for example, Maximum Story Displacement, Maximum Story Drift and Story Shears. The conclusion expressed that the hexagrid framework is best as it has least sidelong removal and it gives a superior engineering appearance to the structure.

Kachchhi et. al. (2019) [5] the research paper consider a parametric comparison of a symmetric building, modelling a 10 storey structure and the analysis of the model was done using ETABS V2017 for structural systems namely Shear walls, Belt Truss, Outrigger, Diagrid, Staggered Truss and a conventional Frame. Structure analysis was done considered Dead load, Live load, Seismic load and Wind load. Static and Response spectrum analysis was done for performing earthquake loads where the model was considered on seismic zone V. The results exhibited that Displacements on every story and story float was less in Diagrid frameworks in X-Direction in contrast with other parallel loads opposing framework. Storey Displacement on every story and story float were less in Staggered Truss frameworks in Y-Direction when contrasted with other parallel burden opposing framework.

Sayyed Kamran Altaf et. al. (2018) [10] the research paper exhibited comparative investigation on basic casing building, diagrid basic framework building, pentagrid structural framework building and hexagrid basic framework building.

The conclusion prompted that Maximum story displacement in Diagrid structure was less when contrasted with other basic frameworks like pentagrid and hexagrid structure framework. Maximum storey drifts in Diagrid structure was less when contrasted to pentagrid and hexagrid basic framework. Base shear in Diagrid structure was less when contrasted thane pentagrid and hexagrid structural framework along with its time span.

Deepak and Mehandiratta (2019)[4] the research paper presented horizontal hexagrid design which planned to research the ideal edge and topology of slanting individuals in a hexagrid outline utilizing limited component investigation and to consider the auxiliary properties of hexagonal structures to contrast their potential proficiency and the customary structure.

The conclusion exhibited diagrid structure diminishes bending moment which in results diminishes reinforcement prerequisite. Horizontal uprooting can be limited by utilizing diagrids. Because of diagonal sections on its fringe, diagrid exhibited better protection from parallel loads and inward segments get loose and convey just gravity loads. While in

ordinary structure both internal and external section was intended for both gravity and parallel load. The diagrid setup decreased in the range of edge bars at substitute floors, consequently lessening the bar forces at interchange floors.

Thomas et. al. (2018)[6] the author led a seismic investigation of the structure for hexagrid structures with the vertical and level direction of the hexagrid module. A 60 storey steel structure with symmetric floor plan by utilizing same the volume of steel was planned and an equal static investigation was directed utilizing SAP 2000 programming to ideal module size. The results expressed with the expansion in module size, the uprooting and float estimations of vertical hexagrid models are diminishing. So expanding the module size gave progressively stable structures in vertical hexagrid design. With the expansion in module size, the relocation and float estimations of level hexagrid models are expanding. So expanding the module size decreases soundness in flat hexagrid design. The gravity load was circulated similarly in vertical hexagrid models. The flat Hexa-frameworks was found to take a higher gravity load than the vertical Hexa-matrices.

Subramani and Murali (2018) [14] the research paper aimed at examination of the performance of multi outrigger structural system for a ten storey constructing with static and dynamic analyses of various geometry using ETABS program. The exhibition examination of the tall structure for distinctive geometry was performed to find the appropriate capacity of outrigger contraption and belt support with the guide of the use of parallel load. Time history examination for floor development insights of the ten-story building rendition was completed. The assessment included sidelong uprooting; stories accept the way things are and base shear for static and dynamic loading. The outcomes reasoned that packaged tube was extraordinary seismic control for progressively over the top upward push symmetric structures. As time records were practical strategy, utilized for seismic assessment which gave a most extreme higher check to the security of structure analysis and planned by method for system determined by method for IS code.

shambale and hamane (2018)[3] the research paper introduced a relative investigation of diagrid and outrigger structural framework for highrise structure of tallness 108 m exposed to horizontal breeze load. The investigation included distinctive structure models with the shear wall framework, diagrid framework and outrigger framework. By utilizing Gust factor strategy according to IS 875 (Part-3)- 1987, the parallel wind load was determined by considering dynamic 'alongside wind' reaction. Consequently, the exploration paper intended to analyze changed models by fluctuating the point of tendency and changing the area of the outrigger. Correlation of examination results was made as far as top story displacement, axial forces, material utilization and period. The conclusion expressed the arrangement of the outrigger with belt bracket framework and edge diagrid framework in elevated structures improved the structural firmness and made the basic framework successful under sidelong loads other than they were compelling in decreasing the parallel removal.

Shaikh et. al. (2017)[12] the research paper presented comparative results of diagrid and outrigger structural system for high-rise building of height 108 m subjected to lateral wind load. The investigation included distinctive structure models with the shear divider framework, diagrid framework and outrigger framework. By utilizing Gust factor strategy according to IS 875 (Part-3)- 1987, the sidelong wind load was determined by considering dynamic 'alongside wind' reaction. Consequently, this investigation analyzed various models by differing the point of tendency and changing the area of the outrigger. Examination of investigation results was made regarding top story removal, pivotal forces, material utilization and period. The results expressed that the arrangement border diagrid framework in tall

structures improved the structural firmness and made the basic framework successful under parallel loads just as they were effective in lessening the horizontal uprooting. The top story uprooting of Building with 4-Story diagrid module (67.38° tendency) diminishes to 10 % when contrasted with the Building with two outriggers @ 0.33H and 0.66H expressing that for tall structure, border diagrid framework was the advantageous structural framework. The utilization of steel material for outrigger basic framework by 17% higher than the diagrid auxiliary framework. Henceforth it tends to be presumed that for elevated structures, arrangement of diagrid basic framework will be affordable. The time of the diagrid structure was less when contrasted with the outrigger structure (2.1 Sec) prompting the end that the diagrid basic framework was a lot stiffer than the outrigger and shear divider structural framework. Diagrid structure gave a progressively practical framework in engineering arranging just as higher structural proficiency for elevated structures.

Saraswathy et. al. (2017)[1] the research paper presented analysis of a 48 storied Steel building with diagrid system and hexagrid system. Designing and analysis of structure were done utilizing limited component programming ETABS. Load blends and seismic information were given by IS 875:1987and IS 1893:2002 individually. Examination of investigation results with the customary framework was done as far as story dislodging, story shear, story float and period. The derived conclusion expressed that the top story uprooting was nearly less in diagrid and hexagrid contrasted with the traditional structure since the corner to corner segments oppose the horizontal heap of the structure. Both the diagrid and hexagrid framework guaranteed a successful shear conveyance than a regular framework. The story float and modular period were less for both the network framework. Length of the corner to corner part ought to be least as conceivable to accomplish the ideal execution. Both the diagrid and hexagrid framework guaranteed a profoundly effective structure. Hexagrid framework was suggested for making an efficient structure since the material origination relies upon the number of sides.

Liz Isaac et. al. (2017) [8] the research paper gave a model an ordinary floor plan of 36 m x 36 m sizes utilizing ETABS V15 programming for displaying and investigation of auxiliary individuals. Twelve models were made all in all of Exterior Braced steel outline structure, Diagrid, Octagrid and Hexagrid structures concerning variety in their module thickness. Comparable static and Response range investigation of these models was done to inspect their presentation. An examination of parameters Story Shear, Story float, Story uprooting, Time-period and Structural weight was done to decide the productive and cost-efficient structure. The results expressed that Diagrid with 4 story module displays lower uprooting, story float, story shear, timespan and Structural weight. Parameters show a rising pattern underneath or more this module size. Thus, it was expressed that Diagrid working with 4 story module having a corner to corner point of 67.38° was the ideal inclining edge, fundamentally productive and practical model. The structure execution of Hexagrid and Octagrid basic structure breaks down with the abatement in module thickness. Diagrid basic framework gives greater adaptability in arranging inside space and exterior of the structure.

Mali et. al. (2017) [11] the research paper exhibited the reaction of elevated structure with various diagrid basic framework considering four distinctive diagrid structures of (G+30) story to get the advanced situation of diagrid. Also, the reaction of this diagrid building was contrasted and the ordinary structure on same parameters to both the structures. The examination of the structure was completed by utilizing ETABS programming and correlation was done dependent on story displacement. The conclusion expressed that the parallel relocation in X and Y bearing for diagrid structure was essentially less by 45.48% and 41.71% while considering Equivalent

static investigation and 45.92%, 42.17% when considering Response range examination when contrasted with the traditional structure. Subsequently, the general relocation and of the structure can be adequately constrained by embracing a diagrid structure. For Wind Analysis, The parallel uprooting in X and Y bearing for diagrid structure was fundamentally less by 45.34% and 41.59% when contrasted with the customary structure. Diagrid basic framework has risen as a superior answer for parallel load opposing framework regarding sidelong removal.

Mohsen et. al. (2016)[7] the research paper displayed another basic framework stretched out towards naming another Hexagrid, three basic frameworks tube and diagrid and new Hexagrid in comparable structures (in plan, tallness, stacking, and so forth) with 30 stories were demonstrated in programming ABAQUS and SAP2000 and the computation of seismic tremor factors on structures referenced by program written in MATLAB dependent on 2800 guideline. Examination of static and straight unique and nonlinear static (pushover), individually was done on structures 30 stories of the diagrid and tube and new hexagrid. The favourable circumstances and inconveniences and relative prevalence of the three frameworks parallel loads in structures referenced dependent on firmness parameters, relative relocation, malleability and opposition contrasted and one another. The conclusion expressed that slanting membranes in new Hexagrid structural frameworks can convey gravity loads just as parallel forces because of their triangulated arrangement. New Hexagrid structures were increasingly compelling in limiting shear load on the grounds that they convey horizontal shear by hub activity of inclining individuals.

Diya and Prakash (2016) [2] the research paper exhibited numerous structures utilizing hexagrid arrangement of different geometrical properties of the module (width, stature, point and scale), by changing the edge of diagonals (variable edges of 100, 110, 120, 130, 140 degrees) just as by shifting story height along the structure tallness were further analyzed and planned on a quality based methodology by Finite Element Analysis programming. The subsequent hexagrid structures were surveyed under gravity and horizontal load and different execution parameters were assessed based on the investigation results. The effect of various geometric setups of structures individuals on the most extreme parallel dislodging, steel material thickness on veneer, limit of load conveying, timeframe and bury story float in hexagrid frameworks were compared.the results extracted from the relative examination for an arrangement of 36m x 36m with differing edges of diagonals as 100°, 110°, 120°, 130°and 140° additionally by considering about 1 story unit and 2 story unit reasoned that hexagrid framework was a specific type of belt brackets blended rounded framework and opposes sidelong loads acting in strain or pressure. The hexagrid structures introduced great execution against vertical and sidelong loads. The completely hex angulated structure had higher firmness toward all path. The hexagrid structure whose corner to corner edge in the area of 130° gave more firmness to the basic framework which mirrors the less top story relocation. As timespan was less, lesser was mass of the structure and more was the solidness, the observed time frame was less in the district of the corner to diagonal edge 130°. The ideal edge of diagonals in the hexagrid was seen in the area of 130°.

Taranath et. al. (2014)[13] the research paper exhibited the investigation of the productivity of peripheral pentagrid and hexagrid bracing frameworks contrasted against a basic model (without bracings) at standard loading conditions. Three tall structures of 40, 50 and 60 stories with basic types of unbending casing piece, shear dividers with level slabs, level sections with segments were considered for examination. Horizontal bracings of pentagrid and hexagrid shape with unbending associations were made to these models by utilizing RC individuals from 200 x 200 mm. The parallel reaction of these

models was compared about for least displacement. The conclusion derived from the examination expressed that the pentagrid framework for sidelong load opposing of tall structure forces was more proficient than Hexagrid framework. The quantity of cells per unit was in effect more the expense would be minimal isolated and development subtleties need extra consideration.

Bayati et. al. (2008)[16] this research paper displays an examination on reduction in drift in uniform belted structures with unbending outriggers, through the investigation of an example structure were operated in Tehran's Vanak Park. Results displayed that utilizing the upgraded multi-outriggers framework can successfully decrease the seismic reaction of the structure. Also, the outcomes show that a multi-outriggers framework can diminish components and establishment measurements. with a similar outrigger segment sizes and areas, virtual outriggers will be less viable than ordinary direct outriggers due to the diminished solidness of the circuitous forces move component. In numerous applications, the diminished viability or proficiency of the outrigger framework will be more than redressed. The conclusion expressed when there were no brackets in the space between the centre and the structure outside, there are fewer requirements on the area of outside segments. The need to find enormous outside segments where they can be legitimately connected by outrigger supports stretching out from the centre is disposed of. Every outside segment (not simply certain assigned outrigger sections) take an interest in opposing upsetting moment.

III. CONCLUSION

ETABS is advance analysis tool for structural analysis.

1. Researchers determined that lateral stability in a structure minimizes the bending moment and forces generated due to loads and its age which results in increase in structure life with stability and safety.
2. It is observed that for seismic force resistivity lateral resisting members are necessary to provide safe design.

IV. REFERENCES

[1]. Divya M. S. and B. Saraswathy, [COMPARATIVE ANALYSIS OF HIGH RISE STEEL BUILDING WITH HEXAGRID, DIAGRID AND CONVENTIONAL STRUCTURAL SYSTEM], International Research Journal of Engineering and Technology (IRJET), Volume: 04 Issue: 04 | Apr -2017.

[2]. DIYA SUSAN EBINand D. ARUL PRAKASH, [STUDY ON STRUCTURAL BEHAVIOUR OF HEXAGRID STRUCTURAL SYSTEMS IN MULTI STOREY BUILDINGS], Int. J. Chem. Sci.: 14(S1), 2016, 330-340, ISSN 0972-768X.

[3]. Mathew Thomas and Alice Mathai, [Seismic Analysis of Hexagrid Structure with Various Patterns], International Research Journal of Engineering and Technology (IRJET), Volume: 05 Issue: 04 | Apr-2018.

[4]. D. R shambale and A.A hamane, [COMPARATIVE STUDY OF WIND ANALYSIS OF HIGH RISE BUILDING WITH DIAGRID AND OUTRIGGER STRUCTURAL SYSTEM USING GUST FACTOR METHOD], International Journal of Novel Research and Development, Volume 3, Issue 7 July 2018 | ISSN: 2456-4184.

[5]. Deepak Laata and MayankMehandiratta, [Results and Analysis of Hexagrid and Diagrid Systems in Multi Storey Buildings], International Journal of Science, Engineering and Technology, ISSN (2348-4098), 2019.

[6]. Jateen M. Kachchhi, Snehal V. Mevada& Vishal B. Patel, [COMPARATIVE STUDY OF

DIAGRID STRUCTURE WITH OTHER STRUCTURAL SYSTEMS FOR TALL STRUCTURES], GLOBAL JOURNAL OF ENGINEERING SCIENCE AND RESEARCHES, ISSN 2348 – 8034, Impact Factor- 5.070, April 2019.

[7]. Mathew Thomas and Alice Mathai, [Seismic Analysis of Hexagrid Structure with Various Patterns], International Research Journal of Engineering and Technology (IRJET), Volume: 05 Issue: 04 | Apr-2018.

[8]. Mohsen Rostami, FatemehGorjisinaki and AbdolrezaS.Moghadam, [Evaluation of new Hexagrid structural system in bionic high-rise buildings], 5Th international conference of Research in Science and Technology, 15th November 2016.

[9]. Pooja Liz Isaac and BennetAIpe, [Comparative Study of Performance of High Rise Buildings with Diagrid, Hexagrid and Octagrid Systems under Dynamic Loading], International Research Journal of Engineering and Technology, Volume: 04 Issue: 05 | May -2017.

Cite this article as :

Deepak Kumar Ahirwar, K. Divya, Lokesh Singh, "Design Analysis of Outrigger and Hexagrid System in High Rise Buildings : A Review", International Journal of Scientific Research in Civil Engineering (IJSRCE), ISSN : 2456-6667, Volume 4 Issue 6, pp. 46-52, November-December 2020.
URL : http://ijsrce.com/IJSRCE20469